9/14/2023 Non-parametriz methods

henerative Discrimination Naive Bayes Logistic Rogression Softmax Regression Parametric Method P(x/y) Plys Arameter: WER fixed number of parameters ſĊ π to learn. Parameters () Eled After (corning), framing data can be ignored K-Nearest Neighbors Non-parametric #of paramlers size of model Kernel methods proportional to #of frouning examples Would be need to use training dataset to make prediction 1-Nearest Neighbor Ideal Similar points should have same label \rightarrow 1. Training Step: Store training data in memory 2. Test fime: Given X, find most similar training example (e.g. E.clidean distance), Neturn same label as that training example Generalization'. K-Nearest Neighbors Find K dosest points, return Most common lakel among neighbors

Potential Pitfalls: -Bias, vs Variance usually can be very significant - Curse of Dimensionality In high dimensions, you were rarely have close weighbors × x x y /4 of points in even guadrourt in R² IF d=1000, then only 21000 points in each quadrant of 121000 No cluse neighbors in high-dim space > Even clusest neighbor's label may not be same Logistic Regression (K-NN) Intuition: similar points - Only fear Inor decision boundary have similar (abols - Perrometers get (corred -No parameters to learn - Regvorizatións (L2) to boost performance No good ways to resubrize Kernel Methods) Make a production on example xtest by computing: Make a production on example xtest by computing: n (i) test IE >0, 4=1 Z d: K(X, X) IF <0, product i=1 parameter Measures Similaric Lation 2

 $Q_{0} = +1$ $Q_{0} = -1$ $Q_{0} = -1$ (·7 + 1·0 + -1·7 + -1·10 7 . . 7 = - 10 =) predict y=-1 vtest Popular Kernel Function: Radial Basis Function Kernel (RBF) $K(x,z) = exp\left(-\frac{||x-z||^2}{2|z|^2}\right)$ K(x12) C Hyperparameter Caller " bardwictth" lange 52 0 Small 6 2 11 X-211 Recap: Cogistic Regression Training time: $w^{(i)} + \chi_{n}^{(i)} = w^{(i)} + \chi_{n}^{(i)} = \delta(-y^{(i)} \times y^{(i)}) \cdot y^{(i)} \times y^{(i)}$ Test time: compute WTX test, IF>0, predict +1 else, predict -1

Claim: I can rewrite this so that X's only appear in dot produces with other X's Let's define $K(X,Z) = X^T Z$ I will rewrite cogrittic regression to only have X'^3 inside $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ $(\mathcal{U}^{(o)} = \bigcirc \operatorname{vector} \qquad (\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}_{2} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{I}}_{1} \overset{\circ}{$ $\mathcal{W}^{(\ell+1)} \leftarrow \mathcal{W}^{(\ell)} + \mathcal{H}^{(\ell)}_{\mathcal{H}} \underbrace{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}} \left(\underbrace{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{H}} \underbrace{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}} \right) \underbrace{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L}} \underbrace{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{L$ Scalar Every update adds C, X⁽¹⁾ + C₂ X⁽²⁾ + C₃ X⁽²⁾ + ··· to w Define Xi = How many copies of X⁽ⁱ⁾ got added to W by time t $\omega = \Sigma \alpha_i \times (i)$ then! At fost time: compute WTX test $= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i X^{(i)}\right)^T X^{\text{test}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i K(X^{(i)}, X^{\text{test}})$